## Over Wallop Neighbourhood Development Plan Decision Statement: February 2024

## 1. Introduction

1.1 Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), the Test Valley Borough Council has a statutory duty to assist communities in the preparation of neighbourhood development plans and orders and to take plans through a process of examination and referendum. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the Local Planning Authority's responsibilities under Neighbourhood Planning.
1.2 Having considered each of the modifications in the examiner's report and the reasons for them, Test Valley Borough Council in consultation with Over Wallop Parish Council have not accepted two of the modifications to the draft plan.
1.3 As the Borough Council proposed to make a decision which differs from that recommended by the examiner, representations were invited from the qualifying body, anyone whose representation was submitted to the examiner and any consultation body that was previously consulted. The 6 weeks consultation commenced on Friday 12 January until Friday 23 February 2024.
1.4 Responses were received from Historic England, Natural England, Southern Water and Rushmoor Borough Council, all of whom had no comment to make.
1.5 This statement confirms that all except two of the modifications proposed by the examiner's report have been accepted, and that PM1 and PM25 will be modified in accordance with the wording as shown in Table 1 below. The draft Over Wallop Neighbourhood Development Plan will be altered as a result of it these modifications and that this plan may now proceed to referendum.

## 2. Background

2.1 The Over Wallop Neighbourhood Plan relates to the area that was designated by Test Valley Borough Council as a neighbourhood area in March 2021. This area corresponds with the Over Wallop Parish Council boundary that lies within the Test Valley Borough Council Area.
2.2 Following the submission of the Over Wallop Neighbourhood Plan to the Borough Council, the plan was publicised and representations were invited. The publicity period ended on Wednesday 12th July 2023.
2.3 David Hogger was appointed by Test Valley Borough Council with the consent of Over Wallop Parish Council, to undertake the examination of the Neighbourhood Plan and to prepare a report of the independent examination.
2.4 The examiner's report concludes that subject to making the modifications recommended by the examiner, the Plan meets the basic conditions set out in the legislation and should proceed to a Neighbourhood Planning referendum.

## 3. Decision

3.1 The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 requires the local planning authority to outline what action to take in response to the recommendations of an examiner made in a report under paragraph 10 of Schedule 4A to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of the 2004 Act) in relation to a neighbourhood development plan.
3.2 Having considered each of the modifications made by the examiner's report and the reasons for them, and the modifications to reflect comments made by Test Valley Borough Council in consultation with Over Wallop Parish Council, Table 1 below outlines the alterations made to the draft plan under paragraph 12(6) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of 2004 Act) in response to each of the Examiner's recommendations and the modifications required in response to comments made at the Regulation 16 consultation. This statement should be read alongside the Examiners report.

Table 1

| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Front Cover |  | PM 1 | Add the Plan Period: 2011-2029 | Not to accept the Examiners Modification. <br> The Plan Period was raised in the Examiners procedural matters and question to the parish council: <br> Questions for Over Wallop Parish Council <br> Q4. There appears to be no reference on the cover of the OWNP, or inside the document, to the time period which is covered by the OWNP. On page 3 of the Basic Conditions Statement there is a reference in paragraph 1 to 2011-2035 and a reference near the top of page 6 to 2011-2029. <br> Could the Parish Council confirm the correct period that the OWNP will cover? <br> Response from Over Wallop Parish Council <br> The date of the TVBC Local Plan review changed during the course of preparation. The NDP plan date will be added to the NDP and related documents. For consistency the plan date should align with the Local plan period, assumed to be 2035, TVBC to advise on the correct plan period. <br> Other factors. <br> As highlighted by the examiner, on page 3 of the Basic Conditions Statement reference is made in paragraph 1 to 2011-2035, and reference is made near the top of page 6 to 2011-2029. This creates confusion as to what the correct timeframe of the plan should be. This confusion is as a result of amending one page and not the other due to the ongoing change brought about by the review of TVBC Local Plan (see the PC's response to the Examiner's question above on the issue.) The confusion over the Plan period may have been exacerbated by the PAS 5yr review of the TV adopted plan "The plan period for the next Local Plan has yet to be determined, although an end date of 2036 has previously been suggested" and 2036 is also the date in the 'refined issues and options' consultation document. <br> Conclusion <br> It is clear from the Parish Council response that they intended the plan to have an end date of 2035. Therefore modify the plan period to read: 2011-2035. |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P1 | This policy repeats Local Plan policy E9 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted. The NP could signpost to the LP for the relevant policy. What about neutral effects? | PM 2 | Modify the first sentence of the first paragraph of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E9, only dDevelopment proposals which positively have a neutral or positive aeffect on the Over Wallop and Middle Wallop Conservation Areas (see conservation areas maps 5.2 and 5.3) and their setting will be supported. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P2 | This repeats Local Plan policy E9 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted. The NP could signpost to the LP for the relevant policy. | PM 3 | Modify the title of the policy to read: Listed Buildings and Locally Important nondesignated Heritage Assets <br> Delete the first part of the first sentence to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E9, dDevelopment proposals which contribute to the conservation of listed buildings and their setting (see conservation area maps Figures 5.2 and 5.3) will be supported where such | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | proposals preserve or enhance its archaeological or historic interest. |  |
| Exar | Modification | Page 38 |  | PM 4 | Modify the third paragraph of the policy to | Accept Examiners Modification |
|  |  |  |  |  | The following are identified as listed buildings are located in Over Wallop Parish (see Character Appraisal and Design Code in Appendix 2 for more detail): |  |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 39 <br> Policy EL P3 |  | PM 5 | Modify the first sentence to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan policy E9, tThe Plan area contains numerous archaeological features and Scheduled Ancient Monuments as listed below and as shown in Figure 3.4. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 39 <br> Policy EL P3 |  | PM 6 | Modify the last sentence of the second paragraph in the policy to read: <br> Any such Heritage Impact Assessment should be proportionate to the size of the proposed development at a level of detail proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P4 | Views a) and o) ares within the parish of Grateley, and are therefore outside the scope of this plan. | PM 7 | Delete from the policy and Figure 5.5 Views (a), (q) and (r) which are wholly outside the Plan area. <br> Delete from Figure 5.5 those parts of Views (o) and (k) which extend beyond the Plan area. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Savills | Comment | EL P4 | Whilst writing we note there are several other 'Important Views' ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{o}$ ) which also extend into other parishes. | PM 7 | Delete from the policy and Figure 5.5 Views (a), (q) and (r) which are wholly outside the Plan area. <br> Delete from Figure 5.5 those parts of Views (o) and (k) which extend beyond the Plan area. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Savills | Comment | Figure 5.5 | Important Views a, o, q and r, one of which (a) extends over the Streetway Road site. This issue can be resolved straightforwardly by means of the following: (2) Omitting 'Important View (a) Streetway Road, Palestine' from Figure 5.5; | PM 7 | Delete from the policy and Figure 5.5 Views (a), (q) and (r) which are wholly outside the Plan area. <br> Delete from Figure 5.5 those parts of Views (o) and (k) which extend beyond the Plan area. | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savills | Comment | EL P4 | - important views (view 'a'). This issue can be resolved straightforwardly by means of the following: (1) Omitting 'Important View (a) Streetway Road, Palestine' from policy EL P4 Important Views; | PM 7 | Delete from the policy and Figure 5.5 Views (a), (q) and (r) which are wholly outside the Plan area. <br> Delete from Figure 5.5 those parts of Views (o) and (k) which extend beyond the Plan area. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Pages 39 and 40 <br> Section 5.5 |  | PM 8 | In the title, the first, second and fifth paragraphs and Figure 5.5 insert public before 'views'. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | $\text { Page } 40$ <br> Fourth paragraph |  | PM 9 | Modify the fourth paragraph to read: <br> Through the residents' surveys, a number of eandidate public views within and around Over Wallop Parish have been identified as being particularly important. These have been assessed using criteria derived from the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact assessment - Edition 3 (GLVIA3) and should be safeguarded taken into account when considering any future development. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 42 <br> Policy EL P4 |  | PM 10 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E2, Ddevelopment proposals which would have an adverse impact on an identified Important View will not be supported. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P5 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy T1 and does not need repeating in the plan. | PM 11 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy T1 ilmprovements to and the creation of new Public rights of Way (or permissive routes) that respect the character of the Parish, improve connectivity, continuity and accessibility of the existing rights of way network will be supported. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P6 | This topic is addressed in Local Plan policy E2 and does not need repeating in the plan, and the policy can therefore be deleted. Some of the text should be moved to supporting text, and the supporting text could signpost to the Local Plan. | PM 12 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistont with TVBG Local Plan Policy E2dDevelopment proposals which include the loss or harm to healthy ancient or veteran trees (which are considered irreplaceable) will not be supported unless in exceptional circumstances. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | Para 5.8 | The Adopted Local Plan and the settlement boundaries do not permit coalescence, and therefore this does not need a policy in the plan. | PM 13 | Modify the title of the policy to read: Settlement Character and Coalescence <br> Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Gonsistont with TVBG Local Plan Policy E2 $\ddagger$ The NDP Plan area contains the village of Over Wallop, parts of Middle Wallop and the settlements of Palestine and Kentsboro. <br> Delete all of clause (d). | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P7 | Policy title should be changed to: Settlement Character and Coalescence. Development within the settlement boundary. First part of policy repeats COM2 and does not need repeating in this plan. The policy should therefore be deleted. The supporting text could signpost to the LP for the relevant policy. Local gaps are a strategic matter that the Local Plan identifies. This is beyond the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan and should be deleted. 2b) This is addressed in ELP4 and does not need repeating in this policy. | PM 13 | Modify the title of the policy to read: Settlement Character and Coalescence <br> Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E2tThe NDP Plan area contains the village of Over Wallop, parts of Middle Wallop and the settlements of Palestine and Kentsboro. <br> Delete all of clause (d). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P8 | Policy title should be changed to: Designated Local Green Spaces. Delete following text from the policy as not required as its addressed in the NPPF. Development and will only be considered acceptable in very special circumstances where it is compatible with the reasons for which the land was designated, or where it is essential to meet specific utility infrastructure needs and no feasible alternative is available. Development that would improve access to, or enhance the use of such Spaces will be supported provided that the integrity of the Spaces romains intact. | PM 14 | Modify the title of the policy to read: <br> Designated Local Green Spaces | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 54 <br> Policy EL P8 |  | PM 15 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy LHW1 tThe Neighbourhood Plan designates Local Green Spaces in the following locations as shown on the map in figure 5.11 and as described in Evidence Base Document 3: | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P9 | Paragraph 1: This does not need repeating in the plan, as this will come into force through the environment bill and will be a strategic policy in the Local Plan. Paragraph 2: What is the evidence for supporting these measures? How likely is it that there will be applications for this policy to apply? | PM 16 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E5 aAll development proposals in the Plan Area (see Figure 2.1) should aim seek to deliver a biodiversity net gain of at least $10 \%$ (*). <br> Delete the final words of the second sentence: <br> where they include any of the following | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | $\text { Page } 60$ <br> Policy EL P10 |  | PM 17 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E7dDevelopment proposals that impact on the source of the Wallop Brook, its water course and feeder streams, which ultimately form part of the tributary of the River Test Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), should include evidence that they would cause no increased risk of flooding, water pollution or adverse nutrient loading and that the character and biodiversity of the Wallop Brook will be protected and enhanced. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P11 | The hierarchy: This is vague and will be difficult to assess and implement. | PM 18 | Delete introductory sentence: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E8. <br> In second line replace permitted by supported. <br> At the end of the first clause delete: <br> and have regard to the following hierarchy: <br> Delete the first set of clauses lettered $a, b$ and c and replace it with: <br> The installation of lighting will only be supported where evidence clearly demonstrates that any adverse impacts will be successfully avoided or mitigated. <br> Modify the start of clause 2 to read: <br> To be appropriatel Lighting for development proposals should ensure that: | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P12 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy E8 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted. 'Material impact' and 'substantial increase' How will these be measured? | PM 19 | Delete all of paragraph 5.13, Figure 5.15 and policy EL P12. (Modify subsequent paragraph and policy numbers as necessary). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 64 <br> Policy EL P13 |  | PM 20 | Delete all of the third 'green' text box above the policy which starts: Supports TVBC - E8 | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | $\text { Page } 64$ <br> Policy EL P13 |  | PM 21 | Modify the start of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E8 aApplications for development that will result in a net increase in nitrogen reaching the Solent Region International sites | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | EL P13 | Policy title should be changed to: Water Pollution Solent and Southampton Water Special Protection Area and Solent Maritime Special Area of Conservation | PM 22 | Modify the title of the policy to read: <br> Water Pollution Local Watercourses and the Impact upon International Protected Sites | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 69 <br> Third paragraph |  | PM 23 | In the third sentence of the third paragraph replace All with Most. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 76 <br> Second paragraph |  | PM 24 | Modify the second paragraph to read: <br> Given the above From the evidence gathered for the Plan, it is anticipated that smaller scale (less than 10 dwellings or less) infill housing developments/redevelopments and single dwellings (including plots for self-builders) will be the predominant form of development in the NDP Plan area. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | DD P1 | Paras 1 and 3 repeats LP policy COM2 and do not need repeating in the NP. Para 2 would be better suited in a design policy. Para 3 A COM8 Rural Exception sites or a COM 9 community led development would be considered based upon housing need/evidence etc Para 4 - what is the local evidence to support using the Nationally Described Space Standards? Based on the local evidence the 2-3 bedroom need should be in its own policy. Para 5. This seems a bit muddled and I am unsure of what this is trying to achieve. Why is 100 sq m used? What justification will be required. How will this be assessed? | PM 25 | Delete the first part of the introductory sentence to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy GOM2 and COM8 4 The existing Parish settlement boundaries (as at 1 January 2022) are shown in Figures 6.10 and 6.11 . <br> Delete all of clauses 1,3 and 5 . <br> Clarify clause 2 to read: <br> The individual settlements have been analysed in dotail within the Parish Settloment Character Appraisal and any nNew development must be designed in accordance with the design principles and code as set out in Evidence Base Document 7 and Policy DD P3. <br> Delete last sentence of clause 4: <br> In particular, how it reflects on the identified need for2-3 bedroom dwollings and how it complies with the Nationally Described Space Standards or their successor. | Partially accept Examiners Modification: <br> Delete the first part of the introductory sentence to read: <br> Gonsistont with TVBC Local Plan Policy COM2 and COM8 $\pm$ The existing Parish settlement boundaries (as at 1 January 2022) are shown in Figures 6.10 and 6.11. <br> Delete all of clauses 1, 3 and 5 . <br> Clarify clause 2 to read: <br> The individual settlements have been analysed in detail within the Parish Settlement Character Appraisal and any nNew development must be designed in accordance with the design principles and code as set out in Evidence Base Document 7 and Policy DD P3. <br> Not accept the following modification: <br> The examiner also proposed to delete the last sentence of clause 4: <br> In particular, how it reflects on the identified need for 2-3 bedroom dwellings and how it complies with the Nationally Described Space Standards or their successor. <br> The only representation on this issue was from the council who questioned the evidence for adopting the Nationally Described Space Standards, and not the need for 2-3 bedroom homes. The Housing Needs Assessment has evidence to support this element of the policy as does the parish survey. Therefore this part of the policy should therefore be retained. The only representation on this issue was from the council who questioned the evidence for adopting the Nationally Described Space Standards, and not the need for 2-3 bedroom homes. The Housing Needs Assessment has evidence to support this element of the policy as does the parish survey. Therefore this part of the policy should be retained. <br> Modify clause 4 to read: <br> All applications for residential development which results in a net gain of one or more additional dwellings on a site, shall be accompanied by information identifying how the proposed accommodation will meet the specific housing needs of the Parish, and iln particular, how it reflects the identified need for 2-3 bedroom dwellings. and how it complies with the Nationally Described Space Standards or their successor. |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | DD P2 | This is more akin to supporting text rather than a policy and repeats policies COM7, 8 and 9 . The policy should therefore be deleted | PM 26 | Delete all of policy DD P2. <br> Modify the introductory sentence before the policy to read: <br> The policy for-Affordable and Communityled Housing is addressed in TVBC Local Plan policies COM7, COM8 and COM9. <br> (Modify subsequent policy and paragraph numbers as necessary). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 79 <br> Paragraph 6.8 |  | PM 27 | Add a new third paragraph on page 79 to read: <br> The Government published the National Design Guide in 2019 and the National Model Design Code in July 2021. They provide significant advice with regard to, for example, character and design. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | DD P3a | The government have now published the National Design Model guidance, and therefore much of this does not need repeating as it is not locally distinctive to Over Wallop. The character assessment work for each area is locally distinctive and should form the design principles for the Plan Area. Criteria ix) what about overlooking or visual dominance? | PM 28 | Modify clause (ix) to read: <br> (ix) the amenity of neighbouring properties in terms of level of light, noise, overlooking, visual dominance, air or water pollution; | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 80 <br> Policy DD P3a |  | PM 29 | Modify the start of the introductory sentence to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy E1, E2 aAll new development should be of a high quality design which takes account of its individual location and reflects the specific local identity as set out in the Parish Character Appraisal and Design Code document (Appendix B). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | DD P4 | This is addressed in National policy and in Local Plan policy E7 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted | PM 30 | Modify the start of the policy to read: <br> Gonsistent with TVBG Local Plan Policy E7dDevelopment shall be supported where it is not located on areas at risk of, or which would contribute to, seasonal flooding from springs and ground water. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P1 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy T1 and does not need repeating here. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section. Paragraph 2 - For individual dwellings, any financial contributions will be secured via CiL (Community Infrastructure Levy). The mitigating envisaged in this policy would only be | PM 31 | Modify the start of the policy to read: <br> Gonsistont with TVBC Local Plan Policy T1-COM15 pProposals should seek to promote safety measures for public highways between settlements and encourage active travel as set out in the Parish Roads Strategy (Evidence Base Document 8): | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | relevant on larger schemes, which the plan as written is not proposing. This element could therefore be removed. |  |  |  |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P1 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy T1 and does not need repeating here. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section. Paragraph 2 - For individual dwellings, any financial contributions will be secured via CiL (Community Infrastructure Levy). The mitigating envisaged in this policy would only be relevant on larger schemes, which the plan as written is not proposing. This element could therefore be removed. | PM 32 | Modify the second part of policy IC P1 to read: <br> Where appropriate, new development should support the achievement of the Parish Roads Strategy (Evidence Base document 8). and provide financially or in kind, for the mitigation of the effects of the development road network as follows: <br> Delete all of clauses (i) and (ii) at the end of the policy. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P2 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy T1 and does not need repeating here. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section | PM 33 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy T1 nNew development will be supported where it complies with other development plan policies and can be satisfactorily accommodated within the existing highways network. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P3 | This is addressed in Local Plan policy T1 and does not need repeating here. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section. Criteria 1 - Does this apply to any development, including householder applications for extensions and conservatories.? For individual dwellings, any financial contributions will be secured via CiL (Community Infrastructure Levy). The mitigating envisaged in this policy would only be relevant on larger schemes, which the plan as written is not proposing. This element could therefore be removed. | PM 34 | Modify the first sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local plan Policy T1 dDevelopment proposals should preserve and where practicable, enhance the rural roads and lanes in the Parish. | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P4 | This is addressed in Local Plan policies COM15 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section Criteria 1Does this apply to any development, including householder applications for extensions and conservatories.? For individual dwellings, any financial contributions will be secured via CiL (Community Infrastructure Levy). The mitigating envisaged in this policy would only be relevant on larger schemes, which the plan as written is not proposing. This element could therefore be removed. Criteria 1b) This is addressed in Local Plan policies LHW4 and E5 and does not need repeating in the plan. The NP could signpost to the LP for the relevant policy. Criteria 2 This would sit better in the community aspirations chapter. Criteria $2 a$ and b) This is addressed in Local Plan policies COM15 and T1 and does not need repeating in the plan. Criteris 2b) Do these improvements not need to demonstrate an unacceptable impact? | PM 35 | Delete the first sentence of the policy: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy T1, LHW4, E5, COM15 <br> Modify the first sentence of clause 1 to read: <br> Any $n$ New development (other than minor householder proposals) must make an appropriate contribution to community infrastructure or services proportionate to the impact that development will have on local services, facilities and the environment. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 106 <br> Policy IC P5 |  | PM 36 | Modify the first sentence to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy $\mp 1$ tThe increasing need for the UK to produce renewable low carbon energy in response to the climate change is recognised. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P6 | This is addressed in Local Plan policies LE16 and 17 and does not need repeating in the plan. The policy should therefore be deleted and the relevant supporting text and policy wording added to the community aspirations section. Criteria i) This is addressed in the design polices or the national design model guidance. Criteria iii)How will this be determined? Criteria iv)How will this be determined? | PM 37 | Delete the introductory sentence (The policy for Local Business is:) and all of policy IC P6. <br> (Modify subsequent paragraph and policy numbers as necessary). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P7 | Criteria 1 - This is addressed in Local Plan policy COM14 and does not need repeating in the plan. Criteria 2 - This would sit better in the community aspirations section. Criteria 2 - | PM 38 | Modify the introductory sentence of the policy to read: <br> Consistent with TVBC Local Plan Policy COM14 cCommunity development proposals will be supported if they: | Accept Examiners Modification |


| Consultee | Support / object / comment | Section / Policy / Paragraph. | Comments | Ref | Examiners Recommendation | Proposed Modification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A map showing the facilities would be beneficial. TVBC can assist with mapping if required. |  |  |  |
| Test Valley Borough Council | Comment | IC P7 | Criteria 1 - This is addressed in Local Plan policy COM14 and does not need repeating in the plan. Criteria 2 - This would sit better in the community aspirations section. Criteria 2 A map showing the facilities would be beneficial. TVBC can assist with mapping if required. | PM 39 | Modify the introductory sentence to the list: <br> List of community facilities: The following have been identified as community facilities and are identified on Map --: <br> (New Map of community facilities to be inserted and the Map reference to be included in the policy when known). | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Page 115 <br> Section 9.1 |  | PM 40 | Modify the first paragraph in this section to read: <br> The Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP), when approved by the referendum, will form be made part of the Test Valley Development Plan. Its policies will therefore carry the full weight of the policies of the Development Plan and in Over Wallop Parish they will have precedence over the non-strategic policies of VBC unloss material considerations indicate otherwise. Applications will then be determined by TVBC using the policies contained in the final 'made' NDP. | Accept Examiners Modification |
| Examiners Modification | Modification | Throughout the Plan |  | PM 41 | Specifically identify which of the plans in the document form part of the Policies Map. | Accept Examiners Modification |

