From:
To: Planning Policy

Subject: Comment on the draft Local Plan 2040 Regulation 18 Stage 2

Date: 29 March 2024 18:11:03

Attachments: 8 School Road mapping document 0324.pdf

**Caution:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

During consultation on the Wellow neighbourhood plan I became away that mysteriously a settlement boundary had been drawn across our land. Following referral to TVBC I was advised by the Senior Neighbourhood Planning Officer, Sarah Hughes, that the boundary would have been approved as part of the adoption of the revised local plan in 2016. It seems very strange that a boundary can be drawn across our land without us being made aware. I was also advised that TVBC would have used an Ordnance Survey base map as the basis for all the mapping that the Council produces. The attached document shows an extract from the current consultation document inset map for West Wellow and also the corresponding OS base map. The document also includes an extract from the Adopted Local Plan 2011-2019 showing the settlement boundary that was apparently imposed.

I understand that OS map physical boundaries not ownership boundaries. We installed a fence across part of our land broadly in line with the azure line on the second map in the attached document so it would appear that the OS map is correct – the land ownership is unaltered and is outlined in red on this map.

However the settlement boundary does not follow the OS base map contrary to what I was told by TVBC. There appears to be no reason why it has been imposed in an artificial manner segmenting our land. It was suggested by the Senior Neighbourhood Planning Officer that as part of the current consultation process we can make a formal representation on the boundary in this location, and explain why the boundary is incorrect, and how it should be amended. Consequently we request that the settlement boundary is redrawn so that it does not segment our land. We suggest that the boundary should be redrawn as indicated in black in section 3 of the attached document.

We would appreciate confirmation of receipt of this submission and await further advice on the content.

With regards,

John Moret

