

# Thrupton Neighbourhood Development Plan

## Decision Statement: March 2020

### 1. Introduction

1.1 Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), the Test Valley Borough Council has a statutory duty to assist communities in the preparation of neighbourhood development plans and orders and to take plans through a process of examination and referendum. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the Local Planning Authority's responsibilities under Neighbourhood Planning.

1.2 This statement confirms that the modifications proposed by the examiner's report have been accepted, the draft Thrupton Neighbourhood Development Plan will be altered as a result of it; and that this plan may now proceed to referendum.

### 2. Background

2.1 The Thrupton Neighbourhood Plan relates to the area that was designated by Test Valley Borough Council as a neighbourhood area in May 2016. This area corresponds with the Thrupton Parish Council boundary that lies within the Test Valley Borough Council Area.

2.2 Following the submission of the Thrupton Neighbourhood Plan to the Borough Council, the plan was publicised and representations were invited. The publicity period ended on 6 September 2019.

2.3 Mary O'Rourke was appointed by the Test Valley Borough Council with the consent of Thrupton Parish Council, to undertake the examination of the Neighbourhood Plan and to prepare a report of the independent examination.

2.4 The examiner's report concludes that subject to making the modifications recommended by the examiner, the Plan meets the basic conditions set out in the legislation and should proceed to a Neighbourhood Planning referendum.

### 3. Decision

3.1 The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 requires the local planning authority to outline what action to take in response to the recommendations of an examiner made in a report under paragraph 10 of Schedule 4A to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of the 2004 Act) in relation to a neighbourhood development plan.

3.2 Having considered each of the modifications made by the examiner's report and the reasons for them, and the modifications to reflect comments made Test Valley Borough Council in consultation with Thrupton Parish Council has decided to accept all the modifications to the draft plan. Table 1 below outlines the alterations made to the draft plan under paragraph 12(6) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of 2004 Act) in response to each of

the Examiner's recommendations and the modifications required in response to comments made at the Regulation 16 consultation. This statement should be read alongside the Examiners report.

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	LEO6	This could be achieved by designating the land as a Local Green Space Designation if the land in question meets the criteria.	The Objectives are a historical record of what was agreed with Parishioners through Consultation from which Policies have been developed. The resultant Policies may be amended but the Objectives are immutable.	PM1. Modify these Objectives as follows: LEO1 – delete the words 'free from intrusive development'. LEO8 – change 'Space' to 'Spaces' and delete from 'where' to 'environment'. LEO12 – replace with 'To protect and conserve the natural water sources within the Plan area'. HEO1 – delete 'historic'. HO2 – delete the reference to Lifetime Homes Standard. HO3 – delete from 'new homes' to 'techniques'. HO4 – add 'safe' after 'suitable'. Delete the following Objectives: LEO7, LEO9 and CIO2 to CIO11.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	LEO12	This might be better worded as 'To conserve the natural water sources within the plan area'			
Test Valley Borough Council	LEO7 LEO10 LEO11	I understand what these objectives are seeking to achieve, but they are not a land use planning matters. They would be better suited in the community projects and aspiration chapter.			
Test Valley Borough Council	HO1	The Adopted Local Plan addresses this issue and therefore does not need repeating in the plan.			
Test Valley Borough Council	HO2	The lifetime homes standard is no longer in force, and therefore references to this should be deleted.			
Test Valley Borough Council	HO3	The text ' <i>new homes ..... to ... environment</i> ' is more suited to a design policy, and not the overarching objective, and should be deleted from the objective.			

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HO4	This level of detail would be covered in a planning application, and does not need repeating here. Landscaping and landscape character are two separate considerations. This could be incorporated into HO3 with the addition of 'and natural environment' to the objective.			
Test Valley Borough Council	CIO2 to CIO11	I understand what these objectives are seeking to achieve, but they are not a land use planning matters. They would be better suited in the community projects and aspiration chapter.			
Test Valley Borough Council	para 5.10	A map showing the character areas would be helpful. TVBC can assist with mapping for the plan. Delete the following from bullet 5 :LCA 5H Pillhill Brook Valley Floor ( <del>note the boundary of this area has been amended to include a larger area within the Parish</del> ).	Agreed. At the time of writing these were undergoing revision see Paragraph 5.10. TVBC can provide suitable maps.	PM2. In Paragraph 5.10 – after TVBC Landscape Character Assessment, delete '2004' and replace by '2018'. Amend the rest of the text in Paragraph 5.10 as shown in the TVBC track change version.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	para 5.11	As a planning authority we are unable to insist that all proposals have a LVIA, therefore suggest adding the words 'where required'	Agreed.	PM2. In Paragraph 5.11, second line, add 'Where required,' before 'proposals ....'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Policy EN1	Suggest rewording the policy to 'Landscape' as this policy is principally about the landscape. Amend criterion c to read : c) <b>external</b> lighting is avoided and where necessary does not cause light pollution sufficient to reduce the	Agree.	PM2. In policy EN1 c) add ' <b>external</b> ' before ' <b>lighting</b> ....'.	Accept Examiners Modification

<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thrupton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
		quality of dark night skies or adversely affect habitats or the amenity of other occupiers.			
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	EN2			PM3 In policy EN2 b) delete the words 'physical and'	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Para 5.13			PM4 In paragraph 5.13, line 5, after the words 'the setting of the settlement' delete the rest of the sentence up to and including the word 'separation'	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	EN3			PM5 Delete policy EN3 and redraft as follows: 'Development proposals should protect, and where possible, positively contribute to the following views and vistas, indicated on Map 2:' List and renumber sequentially views 1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15. Correctly plot the views on Map 2. Amend the description for view 8 as follows; 'View looking east from Snoddington Lane, to the north of Thrupton Down'.	Accept Examiners Modification

<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thrupton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
Test Valley Borough Council	EN4	The content of Policy EN4 is already covered in the NPPF and therefore does not need to be repeated in the NP. However, parts of the policy text could be moved into the supporting text	NPPF paragraphs 174 - 177 deal with this aspect but it is believed to be important to protect the PC from grandiose schemes which leave the Parish with a bill to pick up for maintaining them. Hence EN4 3 is essential.	PM6. Delete policy EN4. Include the text of the policy (redrafted suitably) in paragraphs 5.15 and 5.16 of the Plan	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	EN7	Policy EN7 mostly repeats the adopted local plan policy E6 and since there are no locally distinctive elements to the policy, I recommend that the policy be deleted. The supporting text could refer to policy E6 and its requirements.	This supports Objectives LE05, CI04 and CI06 and provides a local context in support of TVBC Local Plan E6.	PM7. Delete policy EN7. Insert in paragraph 5.27 the words 'Policy E6 of the Revised Local Plan and' before 'The Test Valley Green Infrastructure Strategy ...'.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	EN5			PM8 Redraft policy EN5 as follows: 'Development proposals will be supported, where: a) the development will enhance the features of the Pillhill Brook, its landscape character, appearance and setting; b)the development will achieve a biodiversity net gain; c)the development will not compromise the ability of the headwaters of the Pillhill Brook and the river corridor to function naturally throughout seasonal variations; and d) the water quality of the Pillhill Brook is improved and development does not lead to pollution of the water environment	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	EN6			PM9 In policy EN6 2) delete 'including Environmental Impact Assessment'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 5.11	amend text to read: Proposals should also be informed by local landscape character assessments and site-based investigations. <b>Where required</b> Proposals should be accompanied by a Landscape Assessment which should be proportionate to the scale and likely impacts of the scheme. The recurring themes of the LCTs and LCAs are:	Agree.	PM9 Include the track changes in the supporting text paragraphs 5.11 to 5.25.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	para 5.13	Delete wording in paragraph as follows : There are two key settlement areas of Thrupton Parish: Thrupton Village and Dauntsey Lane (a small part of Weyhill West). There are also smaller built up areas at Thrupton Down and Parkhouse Cross. These areas are integral to the rural landscape character of the Parish. The land at the edge of settlements forms part of the setting of the settlement and development at these locations is likely to be sensitive with regard to settlement identity and real or perceived diminishing of settlement separation. Policy E3 of the Test Valley Revised Local Plan recognises that the countryside around settlements plays an important role in shaping and defining their character, settlement pattern, and sense of place. <del>It therefore contains policies that seek to: maintain the separate and historic identity of each settlement and prevent coalescence of a settlement with others, inside or outside of Thrupton Parish; support the conservation and enhancement of the rural character of the settlements and Thrupton Parish as a whole</del>	Agree.	PM9 Include the track changes in the supporting text paragraphs 5.11 to 5.25.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 5.22	Amend text to read : Trees and hedgerows are an important part of the character of Thrupton Parish and it is important that existing trees and hedgerows of value (comprising native species or where hedgerows follow ancient field patterns) are protected. The value of hedgerows and trees, particularly mature and established trees, is recognised and the Parish Council will <del>recommend</del> <b>put forward</b> , as appropriate <b>suitable hedgerows and trees</b> , for protection by Hedgerow Retention Order and by Tree Preservation Order (TPO). Consultation exercises show support for designation and protection of trees, and good tree husbandry.	Agree.	PM9 Include the track changes in the supporting text paragraphs 5.11 to 5.25.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 5.25	Amed text to read: Replacement and new planting should be appropriate to the <b>landscape character area within which it is located.</b> <del>character of Thrupton Parish and be suitable for the climate and soil type of the location.</del>	Agree.	PM9 Include the track changes in the supporting text paragraphs 5.11 to 5.25.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	EN8			PM10. Delete LGS7 from policy EN8.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	EN8	The NPPF sets out the circumstances that LGSD can be developed and does not need repeating in the policy.	This Policy again gives a local context to the very much broader wording of the NPPF.	PM11. In policy EN8 delete from 'Development proposals ...' to the end of part b).	Accept Examiners Modification



<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thruxton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
Test Valley Borough Council	EN9	Criterion 3 and 4 of policy EN9 are detailed matters for consideration as part of any planning application and therefore do not need to be repeated in the NP.	Where do they appear in the Local Plan? Policy E8 deals with the pollution after development has taken place. Leave as is unless better evidence of duplication is available.	PM12. In policy EN9 delete parts 3. and 4. and renumber 5.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.38	HCC recommend that the NP clarify the flooding type from Pillhill Brook as surface water flooding and groundwater flooding are already highlighted.	Noted	PM 13. Amend paragraphs 5.38 to 5.40 in accord with the representations made by Hampshire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.39	'high' (risk of flooding) is a subjective term – suggest clarification or ideally quantification regarding what this actually means within the document.	Noted		
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.39	Flood zone 2 is defined by the EA as 'between 1:1,000 and 1:100 annual probability of flooding' and flood zone 3 is defined as 'greater than 1:100 annual probability of flooding'. HCC recommend that the existing definitions in this section are amended to reflect this.	Noted		
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.40	HCC as LLFA take this opportunity to ask the Parish Council whether there is an agreement in place with Southern Water regarding the work (tankers) referenced in the NP? If this is the case it should also be clarified as to what level the groundwater needs to reach in order for this work (tankers) to commence under an agreement if that is in	Noted. There is no agreement in place.		

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thruxton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
		place.			
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.40	HCC as LLFA recommend that the location of groundwater monitoring sites is highlighted within the NP for reference (if this detail is known).	As LLFA HCC should have informed us of such sites, as presumably they know their location. There are none in the Parish.		
Hampshire County Council	Para 5.40	HCC as LLFA recommend that some weblinks / signposting is included in the NP to the Environment Agency website for monitoring groundwater levels is included for clarity.	As LLFA HCC should have informed us of such sites, as presumably they know their location. There are none in the Parish.		
Hampshire County Council	Map 5	the key on this map should state 'Flood Zone 2' and 'Flood Zone 3' and have an Environment Agency copyright on it. The title should also be changed as it does not show 'flooding', it shows EA Flood zones.	Noted. TVBC will provide the appropriate maps.	PM14. Change the title of Map 5 from 'Flooding' to 'Flood Zones', correct the key and include copyright details.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	EN10	Qualifying proposals in Flood Zones 2 and 3 will have meet the sequential and exceptions tests as set out in national policy, and therefore do not need to be repeated here. The text of the policy could be moved into supporting text	Are SuDS included in the NPPF in this context? For example run off from the field at the eastern end of Lambourne Close into Mullen's Pond would be unacceptable.	PM15. Delete policy EN10 and reword as a new paragraph 5.45.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Policy H1	Criterion C - amend wording to read : <i>'The use of <del>traditional</del> locally distinctive materials such as chalk (cob), flint, brick or rendered walls with thatch or slate roofs and traditional boundary features such as thatched or tiled topped Hampshire walls will be encouraged. as will</i>	It does no harm to spell it out. The "contemporary design" aspect was added as a result of Parishioner consultation and was agreed to be significant enough to be included in the NDP. Agree to the	PM16. In policy H1 c) replace 'traditional' with 'locally distinctive' in line 1, and in line 3 delete 'traditional' and delete the words 'as will appropriate innovative and contemporary design'.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thruxton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
		<del>appropriate innovative and contemporary design.</del> Providing a proposal meets the criteria in the policy, then the design could be traditional or contemporary design.	"locally distinctive" amendment.		
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Para 6.5			PM17 Modify paragraph 6.5 to: Include details of the Conservation Area Land Appraisal and of the Village Design Statement, referred to in policy H1 a). In line 9 after 'Map 4' delete the words to the end of the paragraph..'	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 6.10	Development proposals should be informed by the Test Valley Borough Council Landscape Character Assessment 2018. The policies of this plan are It is important that any development proposals in parts of the Parish where buried archaeology may be present, but as of yet is undiscovered, are supported by a programme of archaeological investigation according to a brief agreed with the <del>District Council's</del> <b>Hampshire County Councils</b> Archaeological Advisor to demonstrate that the potential to affect any undesignated archaeology has been given full consideration	Accepted.	PM18 In paragraph 6.10, line 4, delete 'District Council's' and replace with 'Hampshire County Council's'	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Policy H3	This should be re titled as ' <i>Non Designated Heritage Assets</i> ' as this is what the polciy is identifying . The text at the beginning of policy H3 repeats the requirements of the local plan policy E9, and do not need to be repeated . Paragraph 6.11 could refer to Local Plan policy E9 as being of relevance to these assets.	Agree the title should be "Designated Heritage Assets". The introductory text to Policy H3 is phrased to ensure that developers make themselves fully aware of the importance of the Designated Heritage Asset before proposing any change that would affect it.	PM19 In policy H3 delete 'Parish' and replace with 'Non-Designated' in the title Delete the first part of policy H3. Replace the first two lines of the second part of the policy to read 'The following are identified as non-designated heritage assets:'. After Veronica Cottage, Village Street, insert 'Barn/garage at Hamble House'	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 6.11	A number of buildings and features have been <b>identified as non designated</b> as Parish Heritage Assets in addition to those buildings or features already protected as Listed Buildings. These <del>are currently non-designated heritage assets that</del> have local significance for their historic, archaeological and architectural interest and their contribution to the spiritual and communal life of the Parish. They have been identified <del>from suggestions</del> by the community and from the Fyfield, Kimpton, Thrupton, Conservation Area <del>Policy Document Appraisal</del> which highlights buildings of local interest that make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the <del>e</del> Conservation <del>a</del> Area. Further information on the heritage value of these assets <del>is</del> <b>are</b>	Accepted.	PM20 Amend the title line above paragraph 6.11 to read 'Non-Designated Heritage Assets' and amend paragraph 6.11 as shown in the TVBC track change version.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
		set out in Appendix 1. <del>to the NDP.</del>			
Test Valley Borough Council	HD1	The 10 or fewer dwellings in the policy would be difficult to justify, as within the settlement boundary, there is a presumption in favour of development, regardless of the number of homes proposed.	The 10 or fewer is a Parishioner express requirement.	PM21. Rename policy HD1 Housing Mix. Delete the first sentence of policy HD1. Redraft the second sentence as follows: 'New residential development should respond to the local need for smaller dwellings and provide for a mix of dwellings of 3 bedrooms or less.'	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	para 7.8	Removal of PD rights - What is the evidence to support this? Is this justified?	The evidence is the view expressed by Parishioners requiring development of: a) smaller house that are affordable to downsizing residents and/or first time buyers b) Affordable Housing as set out in the NPPF. Parishioners wish to retain these in perpetuity to avoid over "gentrification" and keep a balanced demographic for the Parish.	PM22. In paragraph 7.8 delete the fifth sentence starting 'To protect ....'.	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thruxton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	para 7.11	This approach is not supported by planning case law on annexes.	Case Law needs to be understood.	PM23. In policy HD2 b) replace 'subsidiary' with 'subservient'. Delete part d) and Paragraph 7.11	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HD2	In Criterion b) <del>Subsidiary</del> should be replaced by <b>subservient</b> . Criterion d) should be removed as this approach is not supported by planning case law on annexes.			
Hampshire County Council	HD3	Development will need to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the local highway authority that safe access can be achieved.	Noted	PM 24. Add the following to policy HD3: 'f) demonstrate that safe access for vehicles can be achieved.'	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HD4	Bullet 2 in policy HD4 is a requirement for planning applications and does not need to be repeated in the policy. For clarity, it could be added to the supporting text	Accepted.	PM25. Redraft policy HD4 as follows: In a) delete from 'building form' to 'including' and insert 'the local style of'. In b) last line delete 'using reused tiles'. In c) after 'yew' insert 'will be supported'. Delete all of 2.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HD7	2. Sheltered or purpose built housing for the elderly, <del>on a limited scale,</del> and with a preference for those with local connections (i.e. <del>currently living in the Parish or with children/closest living relative living in the Parish</del> ) will be viewed favourably. 3. All new dwellings designed to should be suitable for <del>elder</del> all residents <del>must demonstrate,</del> and as a minimum, that they meet Building Regulations requirements M4(2) for accessible and adaptable dwellings. These	Amendment to 2. and 3. accepted.	PM26. Amend policy HD7 as follows: In part 1, line 2, delete 'for members of the community'. In part 2, line 1, delete 'on a limited scale' and then from line 2 delete the words in brackets. In part 3 delete the text and replace with 'All new dwellings allowed under this policy should be suitable for all residents and, as a minimum, should meet Building Regulations M4(2) for accessible and adaptable dwellings.'	Accept Examiners Modification

Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
		<p><del> dwellings will also be suitable for younger residents and are not intended to be restricted in use. How is 'limited scale' defined?</del></p> <p>The definition of those with a local connection is determined through the allocations policy and does not need to be repeated here.</p> <p>The policy is applicable for all members of the community, and therefore does not only apply to older residents</p>			
Test Valley Borough Council	para 7.18	Lifetime home standards are no longer in force and so reference to this should be deleted.	Agreed.	PM27. In paragraph 7.18 line 9 delete the sentence starting 'TVBC Local Plan ...'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HD8	Policy HD8 repeats local plan policy COM8 and does not need to be repeated in this plan. The text from the policy is already in paragraph 7.19 which explains the situation.	Agreed.	PM28. Delete policy HD8.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	para 7.19	What is the evidence for 5 dwellings or less? A rural exceptions scheme will require a housing needs survey which will help determine the number of affordable homes required.	Noted. Origin of 5 dwellings or less to be investigated.	PM29. Delete the last sentence of paragraph 7.19.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	HD6	Bullet 1 amend <del>'strongly resisted'</del> with <b>'will not be supported'</b> . The parking standards in Policy HD6 are higher than those set out in the Adopted Local Plan. What is the evidence to support these higher standards? The majority of the text	Bullet 1 amendment accepted. As parking is a major issue in Thrupton Village this Policy has been worded to prevent its exacerbation. One bed properties are as likely to	PM30. In part 1 of policy HD6, line 4, delete 'to levels less than those set out below, will be strongly resisted' and replace with 'will not be supported'. Delete from 'The following parking standards ...' to the end.	Accept Examiners Modification

<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thrupton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
		of the policy is covered in Local Plan policies T1 and T2, and does not need to be repeated in this plan. The parking standards in the local plan are minimum standards, therefore any new development can propose more parking that the policy dictates or less, if supported by evidence.	house 2 persons as one. Due to the poor public transport through the Parish and to avoid further exacerbation of on street parking a higher standard than the LP is required. See also response to Examiner's letter.		
Test Valley Borough Council	CI1	The first half of the policy repeats Local plan policy COM 14, and therefore does not need repeating in this plan.	COM14 a) to c) deals with the loss of shops and public houses d) and e) deal generally with community facilities and places of worship. The NDP Policy CI1 is more specific to the context of the Parish.	PM31. In policy CI1 delete the whole of part 1 other than the list of Key Community Facilities where the first line should be redrafted as follows: 'The Key Community Facilities in the Plan area are:'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	CI2	A proposal for a new community facility would be assessed against Local Plan Policy COM 2 and if the proposal met the criteria, COM9. Impacts of proposals on residential amenity are addressed in Local Plan policy LHW4 and therefore these matters do not need to be repeated here.	Policy CI2 addresses new community facilities specifically within a local context. COM2 deals with Settlement Hierarchy and Com 9 deals with Community Led Development which is not what Policy CI2 addresses.	PM32. Delete policy CI2.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	CI3	Policy CI2 repeats local plan policy COM15 and does not need to be repeated in this plan	See above.	PM33. Delete policy CI3.	Accept Examiners Modification



Consultee	Section Policy Para.	Regulation 16 Comment	Thrupton Regulation 16 Response	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	CI6	Bullet 2 of the policy is negatively worded and should therefore be removed	8.11 and the two elements of Policy CI6 are fundamental to what the NDP strives for. The Vision for the Parish in Section 4 Page 21 and the objectives set out what was desired by Parishioners. The juxtaposition of Policy CI6 1. and 2. is deliberate and is intended to make the view of the Parish crystal clear.	PM34. Delete the second part of policy CI6.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	EC1	Add ' <b>accord with the development plan policies and that they:</b> ' for clarity.	See the note below 1.9, Page 5	PM35. In policy EC1 add in the second line after 'demonstrate that they' the words 'accord with the development plan policies and that they:'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	CI5	What is the evidence for the parking stress in the 3 areas outlined in the policy	Observation by Parishioners, School drop off drivers, Hall users and lorry drivers forced to turn their vehicles around in the dead of night much to the annoyance of residents. Parking was a major issue raised by the responses to the Parish Survey.	Para 4.51 I am satisfied from what I saw on my visit, that this specific policy is justified. It is concise, precise and distinctive, addressing a particular identifiable local issue and meets the Basic Conditions.	Accept Examiners comment - No change required.
Test Valley Borough Council	para 5.26	what is the evidence that these are suitable native trees? Are these compatible with the Landscape Character areas ?	This list was suggested by SDNPA. Policy EN1 covers the choice of trees suitable to the Landscape	Para 4.18 I am satisfied that the examples of suitable native species are appropriate here.	Accept Examiners comment - No Change

<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thrupton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
			Character.		required.
Test Valley Borough Council	para 5.3	A map here showing the SINCS would be helpful.	No, see pages 32 and 33.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	Map 2 a and b	Map 2a and b could be consolidated into one map. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	They could, but as these maps have been the subject of criticism for being too generalised reducing the scale would exacerbate that issue.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	map 4	The designations on the maps could be clearer. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	Noted.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	map 5	The key to the map requires updating with the relevant Flood Zones of 2 and 3. The OS copyright is that of TVBC and will require updating with the parish OS number. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	Agreed TVBC will supply the required maps.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 6.5	The LGS and the CA could be shown on the same map. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	Keep as is because the relevant map is immediately adjacent to the relevant Policy.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly

<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Section Policy Para.</b>	<b>Regulation 16 Comment</b>	<b>Thrupton Regulation 16 Response</b>	<b>Examiners Recommendation</b>	<b>Proposed Modification</b>
Test Valley Borough Council	para 6.7	The LB could also be shown on the same map. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	The Conservation Area (CA) document lists them and maps them. As the CA document must be referred to in planning considerations it is more than adequate that it remains there.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	Map 6	Listed buildings should be added to this map. 'Parish boundary' should be replaced with 'Plan Area' TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	Agreed, depending on the extent of necessary revision of the Plan and the improvement that could be made.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	Para 6.10	The SAM could also be shown on Map 6.	Agreed, depending on the extent of necessary revision of the Plan and the improvement that could be made.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update maps in plan accordingly
Test Valley Borough Council	para 10.6	Hampshire County Council have a Superfast Broadband Programme being rolled out across the county, which could also be referred to.	Para 10.7 not 10:6 the suggestion adds nothing.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update as appropriate
Test Valley Borough Council	Appendix 1	Photographs of the assets would be helpful.	Agreed for the majority if householder/landowner permission is granted and time permits.	The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Schedule 4B, paragraph 12(6)(e) allows the LPA to make modifications for the purpose of correcting errors	Update photos in plan accordingly

Please note that as a result of the Examiner's recommended modifications, consequential changes also need to be made to the Plan in order to avoid factual errors and inaccuracies (Schedule 4B s.12(6) Town and Country Planning Act 1990). Such modifications are not all listed in the Schedule above. Furthermore, other minor changes such as typographical and factual updates are also not all listed in the schedule.